

8th December 1959]

SRI R. KRISHNASAMI NAIDU : கனம் சபாநாயகர் அவர்களே, நம்முடைய ராஜ்யத்திலே பெண்களுக்கென்று ஆதாரக் கல்விப் பள்ளிகள் மிகவும் குறைவாக இருக்கிற காரணத்தினால் அவைகளை அதிகப்படுத்துவதற்கு அரசாங்கம் என்ன ஏற்பாடுகளைச் செய்துவருகிறது என்று அறிய விரும்புகிறேன்.

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : இது கல்லூரியைப் பொறுத்தது. பள்ளிகளைப் பொறுத்து தனிக் கேள்வி போட வேண்டும்.

SRI A. VEDARATHNAM : ஓரத்தநாட்டில் இருக்கிற ஆதாரக் கல்லூரிக்கு யூனிவர்ஸிடி அங்கீகாரம் கிடைத்துவிட்டதா?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : Yes.

MR. SPEAKER : Questions are over.

[Note.—An asterisk (*) at the commencement of a speech indicates revision by the Member.]

II.—ANNOUNCEMENT.

BILL.

MR. SPEAKER : I have to announce to the House that the Governor has given his recommendation for the introduction of the Madras General Sales Tax (Turnover and Assessment) Rules Validation and General Sales Tax (Amendment) Bill, 1959, in the Assembly.

III.—POINT OF ORDER RE TRANSACTION OF OTHER BUSINESS AFTER THE GOVERNOR'S ADDRESS AND BEFORE THE DISCUSSION THEREON.

MR. SPEAKER : The Hon. Minister for Finance will now move his motion.

SRI M. KALYANASUNDARAM : On a point of order, Sir. We are now continuing the debate on the Governor's Address. Yesterday the Hon. the Leader of the House intervened and I raised a point of order and if I had understood your ruling on that correctly, I think you held that Government business could be taken up before the debate starts but not in the middle. Whatever may be the importance of the Governor's Address as such, I attach great importance to the debate on the Governor's Address because it concerns the policy of the Ministry. So may I know whether such intervention by the Hon. the Finance Minister is permissible unless it is very extraordinary? I think introduction is only just a routine matter.

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : I think the Hon. Speaker had given a ruling on the matter yesterday and that the hon. Member also understood it correctly. But I find now he is making a distinction between the first day's debate and the second day's debate. No such distinction can be made.

MR. SPEAKER : I am glad the hon. Member raised the point. Though it may be of academic interest only. I think it may be of guidance for the future also. There is a precedent for this in the Lok Sabha. On the 15th February 1956 the President